

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,566	06/24/2003	Marc T. Burton Sewell		8024
7590 04/07/2009 Marc Sewell		EXAMINER		
108 Summit D	108 Summit Dr.		BRIER, JEFFERY A	
Llano, TX 786	43		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/602,566	SEWELL, MARC T. BURTON			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffery A. Brier	2628			
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_			
(1) <u>Jeffery A. Brier, Examiner</u> .	(3) Marc Sewell.				
(2) Xiao Wu, SPE.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 6 April 2009	Time: <u>1:45 PM</u>				
Type of Interview:	int's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.					
/Jeffery A. Brier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/602,566

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

After receiving an email from Marc Sewell on April 1, 2009 SPE Xiao Wu telephoned Marc Sewell on April 1, 2009
who did not answer his phone and SPE Xiao Wu left a voice message for Marc Sewell to call SPE Xiao Wu.

Today April 6, 2009 Examiner Brier and SPE Xlao Wu telephoned Marc Sewel since the six month due date is on April 8, 2009. He answered the phone call and we had the following discussion regarding his patent application as follows.

Examiner Brier and SPE Wu notified Marc Sewell that he needs to respond to the 10/08/2008 Non-Final Rejection by April 8, 2009 with a three month extension of time fee.

Examiner Brier and SPE Wu notified Marc Sewell that he has the option to file a response or an Appeal Brief by April 8, 2009

Examiner Brier and SPE Wu notified Marc Sewell that if he fails to respond the application will be abandoned. Examiner Brier and SPE Wu notified Marc Sewell that if the application becomes abandoned he may petition to revive the abadoned application by following MPEP 711.03.

Examiner Brier and SPE Wu gave upon Marc Sewell's request our director's name and email address.